

Oct. 5, 2010 (#678)

# Alan Watt "Cutting Through The Matrix" LIVE on RBN:

Poem Copyright Alan Watt Oct. 5, 2010:

## **No Privacy Found when Cam-Zombies Around:**

"Technology with Gizmos of Infinite Variety  
Are Making Their Impact on Society,  
What with Wars, Terror and Cataclysm,  
We've Become the Inmates of Electronic Prison,  
Neighbours with Head Cams, Minds in Space,  
Video Everything and People in Any Old Place,  
Automatically Uploaded to Satellite Relay,  
Then it Ends in Seconds with NSA,  
Self-Policing, They Call It, To Change Behaviour,  
Marxists Put Pavlov Up as the Saviour,  
Be Indignant, Demand Justice, Your Mind is Sound,  
Tell Stupid "Eyeborgs" to Find Other Ground"

© Alan Watt Oct. 5, 2010

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Oct. 5, 2010 (Exempting Music,  
Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)

---

[cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.com)

alternate sites:

[cuttingthroughthematrix.net](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.net) , [.us](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.us) , [.ca](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.ca)  
[alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca](http://alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca)

mirror site:

[cuttingthrough.jenknness.com](http://cuttingthrough.jenknness.com)

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European  
languages for print up:

[alanwattsentientsentinel.eu](http://alanwattsentientsentinel.eu)

---

## **Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:**

**Canada and America:** PayPal, Cash, personal checks &  
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal  
money orders  
(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green  
internal one.)

**Outside the Americas:** PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram  
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:  
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

**PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -**  
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

**Click the link below for your location (ordering info):**

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

---

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on October 5, 2010. I get this part over quickly, at the beginning of every show, and I'm sure

that those that have listened to it so many times can skip it, or go and make some tea or coffee or something, BUT I always tell the newcomers to look into [cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.com) web site. That's my own web site. You'll find hundreds of hours of talks I've given over the years for download, for free. You'll see on the front page there are a lot of other sites listed. [Official sites listed above.] You should bookmark them for future use because sometimes the main ones go down. If you find a slow download - tomorrow for instance, after I put this up tonight - you can always try these alternate sites. Everyone goes into the .com at the same time and sometimes that tends to overload it. Remember too, there are books for sale that I have written. There are CDs and DVDs and so on for sale. That's the only thing that keeps me going and ticking over here. I don't ask money from advertisers; I get offered it but I don't take it. That's how most hosts make their money and that's the way things run in the business world. But what I do isn't a business. I'm only out here talking because I decided a few years back that there wasn't much time left before people should really know what had happened, and how they got to where they are, and what's all scheduled ahead of them. That's why I came out. [Order and donation options listed above.] I do appreciate donations because it's generally the donations that keep me going to be honest with you. It's always the same few people all the time who do it, so I'd appreciate those who have been listening for years to chip in once in a while and put a few pennies this way.

The thing is too, this New World Order of ours is quite something. This New World Order is on a rampage. Most folk think it's just a name change or some kind of regime change. It's more than any regime change; it's an old, old plan, put in long before you were born, to recreate the world the way that they think it *should* be created... with all of its mistakes gone, its follies gone, and those who know better, those who - you know, an elite type crew, who believe they have studied different sciences - have the right to decide how the rest of you should live. ...a very ordered society, eventually going into a brave new world type of society, for those down the road who will help to serve their masters, who will still continue as the masters with their own dynasties, as always. That's really what it's all about. So that's why I came out a few years back and decided it was time that I should say and speak about what I knew, and what I had studied for years and years and years, and never really had put too far except to small groups of people because I thought nobody would understand what I was talking about. Most folk are so brainwashed BY their education, by the media, television - they grow up, they are babysat with television - and those who run those companies know that too. That's why so much of the programming goes right into the young child's mind; all the greening stuff was getting pumped out YEARS ago in cartoons as the children were just learning to speak. I'll be back with more on what's happening today after this break.

Hi folks. I'm back and we're Cutting Through The Matrix. You know, the United Nations was set up to be an independent body that had a special status in the world, a status that no one except the leaders voted on, to even give them that status, special powers and all the rest of it, like a sovereign entity. I've always been puzzled as to why a guy like Rockefeller could donate the land there, that really is American territory, to this sovereign body to build their sovereign, independent... *temple* basically, that big building there. They organize the treaties that they get everyone to sign on to and then those treaties are put into law. It doesn't go through Congress or Parliaments and so on; it just gets put into law

across the board. They bypass the regular functions of what we think of as democracy by doing so. But this idea is to bring in the socialist, expert run, world society. Believe you me, under the guise of liberalism, they are the most intolerant of all. These are the guys who bring all your politically correct updates on what you should believe *now* about this, that, the other, whatever, and it's generally the opposite of what you believed years before. That's what political correctness really is; it's updates on the NEW, the new PC.

They do have a special status and the Supreme Court of the United States UPHeld that status that they are UNTOUCHABLE within the United States. This article is about a sexual harassment case that's been going on for years. It's one of many that's happened over the years, amongst other things too, and no one can touch them. It says...

## **United Nations above the law, Supreme Court rules**

UN investigators found Rudd Lubbers guilty of harassment.

Steven Edwards, Postmedia News · Tuesday, Oct. 5, 2010 / nationalpost.com

UNITED NATIONS - The United States Supreme Court has upheld the tenet the United Nations is above the law, refusing to question the world body's legal immunity in a sexual harassment case involving one of its former top officials.

The U.S. justices left intact a lower U.S. court's finding, which stated that the UN and UN bosses are "absolutely immune" in attempts to sue over sexual harassment allegations. *(Alan: That goes for everything else as well. They have diplomatic immunity, like a sovereign nation.)*

The decision comes despite the fact that the UN's investigators found Ruud Lubbers, former chief of the UN's refugee agency, had "engaged in unwanted touching" of staffer Cynthia Brzak after a December 2003 meeting in Geneva. They also found he had intimidated staff in a bid to derail the probe.

Mr. Lubbers, who served as Dutch prime minister from 1982 to 1994, has long denied all allegations of sexual harassment. *(A: Well, of course he will. Of course. He's been doing this his whole darn life, this character. But in the land of America - that means he's a landlubber I guess. In the land of America he's free to do what he wants.)*

He resigned as UN High Commissioner for Refugees in 2005 as the scandal continued to make headlines. *(A: This same guy, if you scroll down this article - I'll put these link up at the end of the show on my sites - it says here, this wasn't the first person or the last person he had done this to while he was a UN chief of refugees and so on. It says he did the same with Angelina Jolie.)*

One of the UN investigators has also revealed that the probe into Mr. Lubbers' conduct uncovered allegations he groped actress Angelina Jolie soon after appointing her as the refugee agency's Goodwill Ambassador. *(A: All these actresses and actors have got to get something appointed to them, in Hollywood, to be acceptable, even though about 1 cent on the dollar of charity goes to any real cause.)* Ms. Jolie continues to serve as UNHCR Goodwill Ambassador, and did not file a complaint.

Staff who witnessed the incident told investigators that minutes before coming down in the elevator to be introduced to staff in the building's Geneva headquarters, Mr. Lubbers grabbed Ms. Jolie from behind, Frank Montil, one of the OIOS investigators, told the Sydney Morning Herald after his retirement.

The thing is, they do have diplomatic immunity, sovereign status. They can do this all over the world, wherever they go, this kind of thing. There have been many cases too, where they have been drunk and smashed cars and stuff, and they can't be touched because they have this diplomatic, sovereign immunity wherever they go. That's quite the protection. See that's the world you are coming into folks. You have the ones who can get away with this kind of stuff at the top, you know the real entities, and then you all have the non-entities beneath them; that's all of the rest of you out there. That's the world they are bringing in, all these *specialists*, and control freaks. Because they are control freaks, believe you me.

If you dig into the United Nations you'll find every part of whatever they do is a big incredible scam. You look into the charitable agencies that they have over there and the refugees areas, where they are supposed to dish out so much food and so on, they CONTAIN refugees in vast areas and they still put up on their sites how many die, per month, in the areas they are supposed to be feeding. I think it's a culling operation to be honest with you. That's how this world operates. It's often doublethink or doublespeak or double meaning. The opposite is generally what they are really up to. No kidding. I've been looking into that and it's just an eye opener. Quite something.

The other night too, I was looking into an old Monte Python type movie, from the 80s I think it was. It was called **Time Bandits** and it's by Terry Gilliam. It's quite funny. You've got to really watch it from the beginning and get through the initial stuff, until it gets to the point; a lot of statements are made in Gilliam's movies. He's the guy who did **Brazil**, about the futuristic society that we are now in, where the world is run under the guise of TERRORISM EVERYWHERE, bombs go off all over the place, the people are used to it, but no one ever catches or sees a terrorist. It's a way of keeping control over the public. In this particular movie, Time Bandits, it's a comedy about the Supreme Being versus the Devil. The Devil is down in his little pit there with his demons having a good ole time. He says, WHAT DOES THE SUPREME BEING KNOW ABOUT MICROCHIPS AND COMPUTERS AND SO ON? He says, THAT'S HOW I'M TAKING OVER. He says, THAT'S HOW I'M TAKING OVER... that was in the early 80s. Amazing eh?

We are all being monitored today to death... by all this technology. They will tell you, THAT'S PROGRESS; YOU CAN'T STOP IT. Oh, really? Why aren't enough people getting up in arms about it? Because they are not, really; they are accepting it. It's because they have had YEARS of programming that this is inevitable. By movies and dramas and TV and all the rest of it, that this is just inevitable; eye scans and so on, and they've seen it in God knows how many movies. Even though they can't remember the movies, they remember the downloads, when they've seen them. So they think it's all quite inevitable and that's why they adapt. It's called predictive programming they put out years and years ahead. Years and years ahead.

Here is where it goes. It's never ending... and it won't end up, by the way, they've got a long ways to go. They know where they want to take it but it's got a long ways to go. They train you Pavlovian style, step by step, DON'T SPOOK THE HERD TOO MUCH BY DOING A RADICAL CHANGE ALL AT ONCE, JUST A LITTLE BIT AT A TIME, and we adapt... because we are the most adaptable species on the planet. There is an article here that says...

## **School installs £9,000 facial recognition cameras to stop students (A: This is the excuse...) turning up late... (A: Really. This is the rubbish they are telling you.) and teachers could be next target**

By Andrew Levy / [dailymail.co.uk](http://dailymail.co.uk) / 5th October 2010

It could make the time-honoured tradition of taking the school register a thing of the past.

Cutting-edge cameras are being used to scan children's faces as they enter school.

The face-recognition technology makes sure they have turned up, records whether they were on time or late and keeps an accurate roll call.

It can also deliver messages to pupils as they sign in. Ten schools have started using the system, which is likely to be introduced elsewhere if considered a success. (A: Now, what are the school headmasters getting paid for this eh? I mean, they have to buy this stuff. They are all funded by the taxpayers. Where are they getting all of this cash? Who's getting the deal? Who's getting the kickbacks? There are always kickbacks in big contracts you know. Always. From your federal level to your state governments there are always kickbacks. You are the government; you give them the award to do this contract and they kick back so much of a percentage, generally 5%. That's how it happens. That's how it REALLY works in the real world.)

But privacy campaigners reacted angrily (A: They always have your authorized everything here; your pros and your antis, eh. There's never an uncle anywhere; there's always pros and antis.) yesterday, warning that the technology was another 'encroachment on civil liberties'. (A: Well, big deal. You can say what you want. You've got to DO something about it. You don't just keep yapping about it; do something about it. Everybody else is getting cut back with inflation, and inflation's going through the roof. Your taxes are all going up. But no, the schools, the military, the police get all the toys... without a problem. That's what Lenin said. YOU'VE GOT TO KEEP YOUR TEACHERS WELL PAID BECAUSE THEY INDOCTRINATE THE NEXT INTAKE OF CHILDREN. YOU'VE GOT TO KEEP YOUR POLICE WELL PAID AND GIVE THEM MORE PRIVILEGES THAN THE GENERAL PUBLIC SO THEY WILL STAY ON YOUR SIDE; THEY WILL DO WHAT THEY ARE TOLD. AND OF COURSE THE SAME WITH THE MILITARY. Why should they ever change the formula when it works?) Britons are already subjected to the greatest level of electronic surveillance in the world, with our movements said to be recorded in some way about 3,000 times a week.

Britain, as I say, is the flagship for the world to copy. They were the ones who planned... it wasn't Britain really; it's London. That's where they planned the whole world empire that was to blossom into a global system; a commonwealth system and then into a world United Nations system of global governance and it's still going on today. So when I read these articles about Britain, remember, that's coming to you eventually; absolutely coming to you, no two ways about it. But yeah, they've always got the cash for these particular projects, always. Here is an article, now, a very interesting article from the CEO of Google Technology.

## **Eric Schmidt: Google gets close to 'the creepy line'**

By Shane Richmond October 5th, 2010 / [telegraph.co.uk](http://telegraph.co.uk)

Eric Schmidt, the CEO of Google, has described his company's policy: "Google policy is to get right up to the creepy line and not cross it."

Schmidt was talking to The Atlantic about the possibility of a Google implant - a chip under your skin that would track you and provide easy web access. That, Schmidt said, was probably over 'the creepy line'. (*A: I'll be back with more after this break.*)

Hi folks. This is Cutting Through The Matrix, talking about Google and reading an article from the CEO who talks about the "creepy line" as he calls it, a chip under the skin would be the creepy line. He doesn't want to quite cross that; maybe not yet. Then he says this though, listen to this...

However, he followed that by saying: "**With your permission you give us** more information about you, about your friends, and we can improve the quality of our searches. (*A: That's the excuse they use for all that, they say. Of course it's a lame excuse.*) We don't need you to type at all. We know where you are. We know where you've been. We can more or less know what you're thinking about."

Some might argue that that is over the line too but Google will only read your mind "with your permission", so that's a relief.

Schmidt has a history of attention-grabbing and quotable statements about Google's increasing, err, creep into our lives. There was the time that he said: "If you have something that you don't want anyone to know, maybe you shouldn't be doing it in the first place." Recently he has suggested that young people might in future change their names so as to escape their Google-able past. (*A: When they put all their, you know, juvenile escapades up there.*)

Last month he muttered cryptically about having "other ways" to get access to Facebook's data should the social network decline to let Google index it. (*A: Facebook came under attack, and there are some people leaving; there are a lot of idiots quite happy with it. The leader of that, of course, the guy, the CEO of that said he was selling all the information he was gathering on people who were using it, I think because they were putting it all up on the sites, right. And he said they were all stupid foxes... I think it was foxes, it sounded like a fox, something like that. Anyway, it says here...*)

Schmidt's comments often sound like those of a man speaking off the cuff,

and perhaps saying a little more than he should. Maybe that's what they are but I'm not sure. I spent some time with Schmidt earlier this year and since then I've heard him repeat in other interviews – almost word-for-word – answers that he gave me. I think Schmidt has thought very carefully about these issues and he's very clear on the message he wants to give.

Google makes some wonderful products. I use many of them, including Gmail, Google Reader and, of course, search. However, their attitude towards our private data is a cause for concern, not least because Google tends to make its services 'opt-out', rather than 'opt-in', which means that the permission Schmidt talks about will be given implicitly.

While Google is honest about wanting to "get right up to the creepy line", it would also suit them if that line could be pushed ever further back. Schmidt's comments play a tiny role in helping that process along. I would bet that in a decade the line will have been pushed even further. In the meantime, though, there will be a lot more court challenges and protests as Google slowly gets its way.

It's tempting to suggest that Eric Schmidt should keep quiet instead of stirring people up every few months but I think he knows exactly what he's doing.

Of course he does. He's the CEO of the company; he's not a dumbo. He knows what he's doing. That's what you find with these characters too. They let things out on queue, at the right time. And of course they rehearse them as well.

Another thing about the CCTV, here is another part too. They are employing citizens now, because they can hook up citizens with their own computers to sit and watch the CCTV cameras at home, through monitors at home, in their houses. It says...

## **The CCTV vigilantes: Snoopers paid to sit at home watching store security cameras**

By Jack Doyle / [dailymail.co.uk](mailto:dailymail.co.uk) / 5th October 2010

Private snoopers are being paid to monitor thousands of CCTV cameras from home.

Their job is to try to spot criminal behaviour on live feeds from cameras in stores and streets. *(A: What's criminal behavior? Do you understand, it's going to go up and up and up? A smile at the wrong time could be a... you know, psychologists will get a hold of that and say OH, PULL THAT GUY IN; WE'LL HAVE TO SEE WHAT'S GOING ON IN HIS HEAD.)*

If, for example, they see a shoplifter they send a text message warning the owner of the firm.

The company behind the idea, Internet Eyes, says it will help fight crime.

Are you getting sick of hearing that? ...IT WILL HELP FIGHT CRIME. Even the Chief Police of London came on last year and says that NOT ONE OF THESE CAMERAS HAS EVER HELPED FIGHT CRIME. But who cares when big business is on the go, eh. And of course it's not the real agenda anyway. It's to modify YOUR behavior, because when you know you are being watched ALL the time, you behave differently. It's not an experiment. In an experiment the subject mustn't know he's being experimented upon; it changes his behavior. So you know you are getting experimented and watched, therefore you can't act normally, anywhere you go. You are SELF-POLICING. That's the terms that the United Nations call bringing in this brave new world, a society where the public are TRAINED to be *self-policing*, in case you didn't know that.

That film that came out, that I was mentioning, it was called "**No Pressure**" by 10:10, the movie, put out by a really radical greenie group but paid by the big boys. All the big names are up there funding them, the big corporations funding them, and they paid big bucks for actors, real actors not little ham actors, and they got real cameras to use, the guys and the whole bit, to do the movie, and a full-time professional director who's made professional movies... to terrify the school children, primarily, into going along with we've got to cut carbon all the rest of it. Cut back on humans basically, because behind it all they keep blaming people for all the world's problems to do with what they call Climate Change. Now that they've dropped global warming they've got to just call it Climate Change. But they blew up their classmates. That was supposed to be the *funny* parts of the film they said. This is what the funny parts are, they blew up their classmates... and they think that's okay to TEACH that way, by impacting their minds that anybody who dissents, including their classmates, should be killed, just blown up, and that's funny, you laugh at it. Can you believe that? And yet young children are getting suspended for drawing a little gun on a bit of paper, getting suspended for a year. I'll be back with more after this break. Liberals are lovely; don't you just love them?

Hi folks. I'm back and we're Cutting Through The Matrix, talking about this movie they made to terrorize children, to brainwash them through basically embedding or imprinting in their minds - very young minds - that people who dissent and don't go along with the big group, you know, the big liberal group, will be blown up. Because liberals, under the preaching of tolerance for all, of course they are the most intolerant of all; they won't accept anybody else's opinion outside their agenda. That's rather evident over the years, isn't it? They forbid you to talk about certain things in fact. Once they've got power, they really go to it, with all their forbidding stuff. It says...

## **Climate Group Regrets Shock Film Tactic (So Does Sony)**

*(A: Sony was one of the big backers of it.)*

By ANDREW C. REVKIN / [nytimes.com](http://nytimes.com) / October 4, 2010

There are much more important things to focus on than an utterly misconceived mini movie blowing up kids to recruit carbon cutters, so it's welcome that Eugenie Harvey, the director of the 10:10 UK group (*A: The non-governmental organization charity group, that live very well, high on the hog.*) that commissioned the film, has moved toward closure by issuing a real apology (text below). The rather reluctant regrets note posted on Friday essentially encouraged YouTube visitors to copy and disseminate it by saying,



"We won't be making any attempt to censor or remove other versions currently in circulation on the internet." (A: *So they won't remove anything; they are proud to have it up there... because these guys are totally unrepentant. It doesn't matter who came down against them, they stand up because they consider themselves RADICAL, radical you see, they are radical. You've got to be radical to get all these grants. I'm not kidding about that; government gives you grants if you are radical, for radical change.*)

Of course the 10:10 folks can't do anything about the even more horrific "remixes" of the video that are now being propagated by foes of climate action. (Sorry, you'll have to Google for them yourself.) (A: *Because now everyone is running with it and making a lot of blow up terrorist cartoons.*)

If you'd like to see what funny gory humor looks like, I encourage you to check out climate blogtoonist Marc Roberts' take on the "No Pressure" video.

A Greenwire report on The Times Web site describes more reverberations related to the film.

If you missed it, I encourage you to read my initial post on the film, including my (two thumbs down) review and a variety of reactions by people involved in moviemaking and/or environmental communication.

Here's today's apology from Harvey, followed further below by a note from Sony's European division: (A: *...who helped fund it.*)

#### STATEMENT BY EUGENIE HARVEY, DIRECTOR OF 10:10 UK

Last week, 10:10 made available a short film. Following the initial reaction to the film we removed it from our website and issued an apology on Friday 2 October.

Subsequently there has been negative comment about the film, particularly on blogs, and concern from others working hard to build support for action on climate change. We are very sorry if this has distracted from their efforts.

We are also sorry to our corporate sponsors, delivery partners and board members, who have been implicated in this situation despite having no involvement in the film's production or release.

We will learn from this mistake. Today I have written to supporters and stakeholders explaining that we will review processes and procedures to make sure it cannot happen again. (A: *Maybe they won't have enough high explosives the next time, eh?*) Responsibility for this process is being taken by the 10:10 board.

The media coverage of the film was not the kind of publicity we wanted for 10:10, nor for the wider movement to reduce carbon emissions. (A: *They should tell them these explosives cause carbon, big black smoke, you know.*)

If people have been in touch with us personally about the film, we will be replying to individual emails over the next few days. Meanwhile our thanks go out to all those who support 10:10 and who work to combat the threat of climate change. *(A: So that's their apology... if you call that an apology. It's kind of like an apology, but it's not an apology. These people are unrepentant, arrogant control freaks. As I say too, if they do this with school children, to train it to school children, as I say, school children who even draw a picture of a gun, if they draw it on a piece of paper get put out for a whole year, banned from school for a year... for that. But these guys can use classrooms and pretend to blow up their classmates, cover them in gore and all the rest of it, to get their message across. Some strange message too, isn't it? ... that I guess if you ignore carbon you blow up. Hmm? What kind of minds are we dealing with here?)*

This evening, a representative of Sony Corporation (Europe) sent a note to an angry viewer of the video that was then forwarded to me by Marc Morano of Climatedepot.com. I just confirmed its authenticity with the author, and here it is: *(A: So they also thank the concerned and so on and so on, and they say...)*

Thank you for your email concerning the video released by the 10:10 climate change campaign group. Sony has supported the 10:10 climate change campaign because we share its objective to reduce carbon emissions. *(A: Well as I say, explosions give off a lot of carbon, and toxic stuff as well.)* However, we strongly condemn the "No Pressure" video which was conceived, produced and released by 10:10 entirely without the knowledge or involvement of Sony. *(A: Well, who used the cameras? Who provided them, too?)* The company considers the video to be ill-conceived and in extremely bad taste.

We also believe the video risks undermining the work of the many thousands of members of the public, schools and universities, local authorities and many businesses, of which Sony is one, who support the long-term aims of the 10:10 movement and who are actively working towards the reduction of carbon emissions. *(A: Maybe you should try it all, Mr Sony and all that, with telling some TRUTH and FACTS about the matter instead of a political indoctrination agenda. That's maybe a place for you to start. Hmm? Anyway.)*

There you go. It's a big global agenda, as you know, from the top, so it doesn't make any difference what you say. And under this greenie plan, it's all ripped from the taxpayers who've been already plundered, raped and pillaged by their governments, bailing out the banks that also raped and pillaged and plundered them...

## **Households face £769-a-year rise in power bills to 'rewire the nation' for green energy**

By Sean Poulter, Consumer Affairs Editor / [dailymail.co.uk](mailto:dailymail.co.uk) / 5th October 2010

*(A: What's green energy? Are they going to make the lines overhead made of asparagus or something? Green energy... can you believe their rubbish? Just*

*keep repeating the same stuff over and over and over. It's like carbon footprint; what footprint is that? There is no such thing as a 'footprint' of carbon. The big marketers and psychologists work up this kind of stuff and terminology for you to use, to make it a real thing in your mind. But green... green energy...)*

A £200billion plan to switch to green energy could cost households an average of £769 a year, it was claimed today.

Industry regulator, Ofgem, said a massive construction plan is needed to build new wind farms, *(A: New, more wind farms... haaa...)* power stations, including nuclear, and a modern national grid.

The first stage, a £32billion plan to build new pipelines and pylon networks, has been given the go-ahead.

*(A: You know, Ofgem is a company that works like an NGO type company on behalf of government and business. It's one of these strange, in-between parts of government we have now, that nobody elects: public/private.)* Ofgem said this element will cost households a relatively modest sum of an average of £6 a year.

However, industry analysts said the full £200billion cost would put up the average annual bill of £1,194 by £769 a year - or 68 per cent - to £2,000.

It goes on and on and on. And this is to come to Canada and all over the place as well, as they put up the occasional windmill. There are even documentaries up there where you will see some of these windmills in action, during a storm, and you'll see the blades and rotors come flying off them. I mean, those things are lethal, you know, but they are pretty well useless as well. And they know that. They're well aware of that, they're useless. They're going through a big charade right now as they want to bring down the populations and all the rest of it. That's really what it's about.

Another site, too, I wanted to look into, from Real Science.

## **Sea Level Falling In 2010**

Posted on October 1, 2010 by [stevengoddard / wordpress.com](http://stevengoddard.wordpress.com)

We are constantly being told that 2010 is the hottest year ever, and that the polar ice caps are melting down at a record rate. Dr. Hansen tells us to expect 3-6+ metres of sea level rise this century. That would be a minimum of 30 mm/year.

Given Dr. Hansen's record heat, the oceans must be heating and expanding, and the polar ice sheets must be melting and pouring into the sea. Sea level must be rising like crazy!

Then they give you the counter to it, the actual stuff of people who have actually gone around the world testing it, professionals again, testing the actual records of the sea, is it falling or rising or what. And it's actually falling. It's actually been falling everywhere... except in the computer models of course. It's been falling in

actuality and I've read some articles in the past about that too. Utter, utter rubbish. I'll put this link up on my web site too and you can go through it for yourself.

There are callers on the line. There's Luke from Vermont there. Are you there Luke?

**Luke:** I just wanted to tell you I've been listening to you a lot and, kind of a more relaxed version of what Alex Jones has to say, I feel like. Certainly more easier to listen to. But I've heard some... I'm just scrolling through your poems and some of your recordings and you made a reference to sort of the leaders in the Patriot Movement and how they are kind of just another arm and a provided hero. I was wondering if you feel that way about Alex Jones' listeners and Alex Jones himself?

**Alan:** Well, I think the one I was referring to was actually not a radio host; although there was a radio host belonging to that group that was on the air at the time. They are one of the biggest organizations that pump out fantastic, professional, professionally done reports on what's happening to them. So they circulate the information TO a lot of the Patriot Radio stations who run with it, without questioning the source really, or what their main objectives happen to be. It isn't until you get into their site that you'll see that they are doing the Trotskyite version of the world they want to bring in, by using good data but with spins on everything. I've always said too, that no one should follow anybody in this world. You can't follow people and you shouldn't follow people... because people will change. People will change as they go along. You will get bribes coming your way and all the rest of it, which you have to turn down. There is no doubt about it, you get approached. Followers are always disillusioned eventually with their leaders and generally turn on them. That's the history of the world. They always give you the allegory of Jesus being followed by the mob. And the mob followed him because he fed them and he cured them. He showed them miracles and entertained them. But when it comes to crucifying him, they all turned up to boo him, you see. So people should not be followers. To be honest with you, not that I think this will happen, but the only way you could fight this is for people to take back their individuality, and their privacy, and their rights, one by one by one, because as soon as you form a group you are going to be completely taken over.

**Luke:** Right. So as far as any mass movement goes, you think there's nothing any mass movement can do? It has to come down to the individual?

**Alan:** It always has to come down to the individual. A mass either has to be made up of individuals, who stay individuals, but agree on certain topics when it comes to action, but they must retain their individuality. The problem with most mass groups is you have your group dynamics set in, and well understood at the top. Leaders will vie with each other to become leaders and overthrow, overpower, and there is a lot of political infighting. That always happens, especially when money starts to come their way. Not only that, the big boys have been at this for a long time, at putting in infiltrators. One of the best books on how it's done was written during the Cold War and it's called, **You Can Trust the Communists**. It shows you how many of the fronts were organized, actually communists fronts. Other ones were used by Christian churches, well meaning people and all the rest of it, to do the bidding of an organization they didn't really understand. It sounded good to them, what they were being told. And other organizations, the agencies

sent in people who worked harder than anybody else at the top. They were the last ones to go home. They'd take on extra work and eventually when it came time to appoint a new chairperson, they got the job. That's how you infiltrate. I read recently that Martin Luther King, during his whole time in the spotlight, he was accompanied with a professional photographer. He thought it was his friend, and he was actually an FBI informant. This is standard in every group.

**Luke:** Wow. That's pretty interesting. I had another question. Do you think at this point we've, maybe the people up top that you refer to, understand that we've reached a peak oil and maybe what they've done to get us involved in these wars in Iraq, like, if 9/11 was an inside job, things like that. Do you think possibly they organized it that way because they know we've reached peak oil and they are perhaps working for our own benefit in anyway?

**Alan:** It's not just peak oil. They will certainly grab all the goodies that are there. But if you went to Ontario alone in Canada you'd find about 200 oil wells that were capped in the 1920s for future use that are still capped today. They are all over Canada. They are still capped, full of oil; they have never been pumped. They've got plenty of oil all over the world. Oil eventually will become more and more unnecessary as they go purely synthetic and into other things as well. That can be done. In the mean time, yeah, they will use their oil. What you are seeing isn't just a war of conquest. You are seeing a political war. The neo-conservatives, when they were in power, made it quite plain, and some of those who were at the top, now that they are not in power, have come out with their own videos on what they did and they called it revolutionary democracy. They would invade countries under the guise of they were freeing the people to give them democracy, revolutionary democracy. That's the term they use. Of course, of course they will plunder everything that's there in the meantime, absolutely. What they are doing is standardizing the world under one system. Obama is just carrying on, because there is no difference between any parties; hasn't been for a long, long time.

**Luke:** Right. You know I've tried to get my Dad... he's conservative. He's a business owner up here in Vermont. He had some complaints about the federal government in his business. He does a quail farm operation so when it comes to having his birds inspected he's got a lot of regulations to deal with. But I try and get him to, you know, which is hard for me because I know I'm not anyone to educate anybody, except I've been listening to Alex Jones and watching your videos, and other peoples'. I've been trying to get him to think about these ideas, these New World Order ideas, one world government ideas, and he said, you know, ONE WORLD GOVERNMENT IS NOT HAPPENING, IT'S NEVER GOING TO HAPPEN. PRIVATE CENTRAL BANKS, THAT'S THE WAY IT SHOULD BE. I DON'T WANT CLEAR GOVERNMENT. I DON'T WANT TO KNOW EVERYTHING THAT THEY ARE UP TO. Kind of... he trusts the good old boys, you know. He made a reference to the guys in the back smoky room with cigars making deals; that's how it's always supposed to go. I don't really know what to say to that.

**Alan:** Well, he's basically telling you he doesn't want to know. He's happy in his mind space, in his head space, and he doesn't really want to know anything that would upset that or burst that bubble.

**Luke:** Right.

**Alan:** So I wouldn't pursue it with relatives, if you want to stay friends with them. Thanks for calling. I'll be back with more after this break.

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix and there is Clint from Ontario on the line too. Are you there Clint?

**Clint:** Yes, Alan. Thanks again for taking my call. I'm glad actually you brought up some points tonight about technology and where we are going with it and whatnot. I just wanted to throw a couple of theories at you with what I think in regards to the high definition televisions that are out there and whatnot, especially the new 3D that they are bringing back with the films and that. I honestly think that somehow they are going to have a mass hypnotization, so to speak, of society and perhaps brainwash us in a way. Because our brains do run on electrical waves; we know that. And I really think that that could be a possibility, maybe through HAARP or whatnot. I just wanted to get your take on that. Also another thing you touched on was the doublespeak with our leaders, so to speak. I honestly think that that's a modern day form of Gematria, modern day hieroglyphics so to speak. And when they talk...

**Alan:** It is.

**Clint:** I really think so too... and just to hear you say that it affirms my thought on that. When I see these symbols that they put out and the way that they talk to people, I think they are really just talking to themselves in a way, and the plan is going forward.

**Alan:** Oh, it's going forward, absolutely. There is nothing stopping it. Well, DARPA had some information out on their capabilities of crippling and even killing a person who watches a video screen. What they can do is actually send signals into that picture in such a way, random thoughts and so on, random pictures that interact with your brain and the way that your eyes perceive, that then pushes those thoughts, those flickers and so on and images, to other parts of the brain designed just like a virus to go in. They can actually slow down your heart or stop it. They actually call it a virus, for a computer, which they can select on certain individuals. If they can do that now on you and get your body to react in certain ways, they can do anything they want to your mind to be honest with you. You don't see most of what's actually on that screen. When symbols come up and flickers come up and so on, or something that is flashing away on one side, you have no idea. They actually said they can actually do it also with adding certain colors for certain effects, to affect the brain. Well the television is the same. That's why they got you into high definition as well. And high definition also is to make you unhappy eventually with the way you actually see in real life, because you can't see... When you concentrate on something and focus on something at a distance, you don't see everything in the foreground, you see everything clear in the background, and vice versa. In high definition everything is clear from the very tip of the picture that they are showing you, and then as you go IN to the picture, further away, it still is clear. So they are making you unhappy with real vision. Eventually they are coming out now, even with a movie - I'll put the link up. It's called **Eyeborgs**. It's a movie coming out to show you they are all getting their little cameras on their foreheads and so on. Eventually we know it's going to go into exactly what you saw in Star Trek on the Borg; they will actually implant

this little eye. People will want it. The youngsters will want to have this particular eye implanted in them. With that will come a program, and someone else will be running that program, remotely, and your brain is no longer yours.

**Clint:** Definitely. And lastly, quickly, I'll just say, like the other caller said, I have known about you for a while but for the past several weeks I've really just listened to you and all the other researchers who've I've followed since 2002 when I woke up, I believe that many of them are just perhaps gatekeepers or jesters so to speak. I really think that, Alan, you are the real deal and I really appreciate what you are doing, for keeping me on my toes, for what I really have to watch, and what I can do for the future and my family.

**Alan:** Well thanks for saying that. I appreciate that.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.

**Topics of show covered in following links:**

[United Nations Above the Law--Supreme Court](#)

[Schools and Facial Recognition](#)

[CEO of Google and "The Creepy Line"](#)

[Private Snoopers Paid to Snoop using CCTV Monitors at Home](#)

[An Almost Apology from 10:10 "Blow up Dissenters" Movie-Maker](#)

[Household Costs to Rise for Green Energy Nonsense](#)

[Sea Levels Falling, not Rising](#)

[Movie--Eyeborgs](#)

---

**Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:**

- |              |   |   |
|--------------|---|---|
| <b>BOOKS</b> | "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."<br>Volumes 1, 2, 3           | Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:<br>"Esperando el Milagro....." ( <a href="#"><u>Español</u></a> ) & "Esperando um Milagre....." ( <a href="#"><u>Português</u></a> ) |
| <b>CDs</b>   | Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs:<br>Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000)  | & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)  |
| <b>DVDs</b>  | "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME" |   |

